

**PAC Action Table (as at 09 March 2021)**

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
PAC DDP 0301	03/03/21	2.3	<p>Reference DDP-399 – Xoserve (KK) to look to develop a ‘User Story’ for the 2<sup>nd</sup> phase.</p> <p><i>Example provided from review at PAC meeting on 13<sup>th</sup> April</i></p>	Xoserve (KK)	<b>Pending</b>
PAC DDP 0302	03/03/21	2.3	<p>Reference DDP-198 - PAFA (SU) to look to provide a list of which reports require additional filters adding for consistency purposes.</p> <p><i>Agreed with PAFA on 15/3/21 – Replicate Shipper report which details movement in AQ current to revised, calculation date &amp; effective date, as well as Class &amp; no. of corrections. EUC filter also required</i></p>	PAFA (SU)	<b>Pending</b>
PAC DDP 0303	03/03/21	2.3	<p>Reference DDP-193 - Xoserve (KK) to look to expand DDP-193 to include DDPs 166 and 192 elements, especially aspects of the Meter Read Validity Report requirements.</p> <p><i>Requirements re-written &amp; reviewed by PAFA 31/3/21:</i></p> <p><i>As PAFA I want visibility of Meter Read Validity Monitoring, at Shipper &amp; MPRN level (Meter Read Rejections delivered as part of DDP-391). This should provide a history of previous failures and AQ data so that the AQ "at Risk" can be determined.</i></p> <p><i>Additional data such as MRF, EUC, Meter Type, AMR indicator should be included in detail reports so that I can compare Shipper meter reading submission performance at granular level</i></p>	Xoserve (KK)	<b>Pending</b>
PAC DDP 0304	03/03/21	2.3	<p>Reference DDP-177 - Xoserve (KK) to look to expand DDP-382 to include AMR aspects.</p> <p><i>DDP-177 moved as agreed &amp; DDP-382 revised: XRN4876 - As PAFA I want check read information for PC1 and PC2 all relevant AMR to show the age of missed check reads. I want this split into 1,2,3,4+ years so that I can monitor performance</i></p>	Xoserve (KK)	<b>Pending</b>

PAC 0305	DDP	03/03/21	2.3	<p>Reference DDP-191 - Xoserve (KK) and PAFA (SU/JW) to undertake offline discussions around the possible combining of DDPs 190 and 191.</p> <p><i>Revision to DDP-190: As PAFA I want additional information, for each Shipper, on the Read Performance dashboard, to provide further insight. I want to align the relevant AQ detail to Read Performance (at MPRN detail level), across all Product Classes, in order that I have insight into how my Read Performance relates to the corresponding AQ Performance. As part of this delivery AQ Threshold/SMART/AMR data needs to be provided.</i></p> <p><b><i>This revision will enable the removal DDP-191 (subject to PAC approval)</i></b></p> <p><b><i>DDP390 will deliver the majority of these requirements &amp; further review will establish if DDP-191 can be removed completely (subject to PAC approval)</i></b></p>	Xoserve (KK) & PAFA (SU/JW)	<b>Pending</b>
PAC 0306	DDP	03/03/21	2.3	<p>Reference DDP Review Requirements - Joint Office (RH) to look to set up and additional Sprint meeting for April 2021.</p>	Joint Office (RH)	<b>Pending</b>
PAC 0307	DDP	09/03/21	2.	<p>Reference DDP-163 – Correla (KK) to clarify what information is already available within the PARR suite and then provide a recommendation as to whether this issue can be removed.</p> <p><i>Revision to User story: As PAFA I want to view the 12 months rolling trend of percentage of meter points with No Reads Read Performance "not Met" per Shipper so that I can compare shipper meter reading submission failure performance to the requirements as set out in the UNC</i></p> <p><i>This will incorporate replication of the Shipper view for PAFA: Shipper performance measures "met" &amp; "not met" by clicking on to graph you'll access the detail which provides Class/EUC/AMR/MRF/AQ</i></p>	Correla (KK)	<b>Pending</b>
PAC 0308	DDP	09/03/21	2.	<p>Reference DDP-168 – Correla (KK) to look to double check how many MPRNs might require adjustment and how the implementation of UNC Modification 0681S might impact prioritisation and delivery (i.e. an initial scale assessment).</p> <p><i>User Story: As PAFA I want 12 months rolling trend of count of MPRNs per Shipper with AQ&gt; 732,000 where SCF is 1.02264 split by EUC bands (04-09) to monitor potentially incorrect correction factors for large consuming sites</i></p> <p><i>Amendment to User story required to cover following: Requirement to understand level of auto change of SCF (MOD0681S) in addition to reporting on remaining MPRN without correct CF (XRN4932)</i></p> <p><i>Further analysis required to understand how this requirement can be delivered – c/f action</i></p>	Correla (KK)	<b>Pending</b>

PAC DDP 0309	09/03/21	2.	<p>Reference DDP-185 – Correla (KK) and (AH) to consider how best to tweak the wording for this issue to better reflect requirements.</p> <p><i>User Story: As PAFA I want a per-Shipper view that will tell me for how long and how many sites are there in each portfolio which have no meter and are receiving data flows so that I can identify if the Shippers are taking action on such data</i></p> <p><i>Measurement from Confirmation Effective Date (CED) or Meter Removal date will provide understanding re ageing</i></p> <p><b>Proposal to remove this requirement as it will be delivered as part of DDP-383,384,385,387</b></p>	Correla (KK)	<b>Pending</b>
PAC DDP 0310	09/03/21	2.1	<p>Reference Change of Supplier / Replacement Reads - Correla (KK) &amp; Centrica (OC) to consider the actual requirements and how these might impact upon the budgetary requirements that fall outside of XRN4876.</p>	Correla (KK) & Centrica (OC)	<b>Pending</b>