

PAC reporting to monitor urgent UNC mod proposals

UNC0721, 0722, 0723 and 0724

BACKGROUND

- PAFA asked to attend UNC mod panel discussing implementation of urgent mods 0721, 0722, 0723 and 0724, to provide a view on the monitoring aspect of the mods.
 - Majority of industry responses contained a view that PAC would monitor these changes in some way
- Some assurance given by the Panel that UNCC and DSC would help to facilitate the requests of the PAC as soon as possible, to add reports to the PARR and speed implementation of reporting changes
- Mod proposer requested that PAFA prepare some change proposals to the UNCC for approval asap (next meeting 21/05)
- PAFA approached by Ofgem to discuss performance assurance of the proposals



721: AQ CORRECTIONS

Current PARR	Previously proposed following PAC workshop	PAFA reporting proposals
2A.8 Count of AQ corrections per month by reason code	Direction of movement and volume	<p>ASAP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Un-anonymised reports • Count of AQ corrections per shipper, split by EUC band and product class • AQ volume & direction of amendment (increase/decrease) in actual terms • 'b' version of the report to include the 'COVID' indicator • MPR level data to enable matching • Total EUC band AQs <p>Post lockdown</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All of the above plus; • Count of AQ per shipper still with COVID flag. • Ability to match multiple corrections

To ease implementation, possibility that MPR level information available by Shipper on request? PAC could request detail if patterns of behaviour not as expected

722: ALLOW USERS TO SUBMIT ESTIMATED METER READS DURING COVID-19

Current PARR	Previously proposed following PAC workshop	PAFA reporting proposals
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 2A.5 meter read• % of meter reads by product class	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Update to include smart/AMR indicator• AQs	ASAP: Existing report plus; <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Un-anonymised• AMR/SMART indicator• MPR level data on request to allow more detailed monitoring• Inclusion of any possible indicators Post lockdown: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reporting to continue after lockdown

Working on assumption that estimated actuals undistinguishable from 'Actuals', if however reads submitted with an indicator, PAC should have the ability to monitor these and their occurring (or not) once lockdown lifted.

723: USE OF ISOLATION FLAG

Current PARR	Previously proposed following PAC workshop	PAFA reporting proposals
NONE – although we have limited data from the risk model update	<ul style="list-style-type: none">NONE	<p>ASAP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Non-anonymised reportCount of isolations by Shipper split by month (initial report to date back to March 19, so we have a full picture for comparison)Distinguish between COVID and actualBy product classMPR level data on request to allow detailed monitoringAQs (or ability to monitor the 2.5% throughput) <p>Post lockdown:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Isolation reporting to continueNumber of sites isolated but not withdrawn by ShipperMPR level data on request – should number of sites remaining isolated become a concern

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- UNC Panel very interested in facilitating changes to the PARR
 - All reports to be added to the PARR and data items to the DPM to ensure that PAC have access to all data required and in un-anonymised format
- Potential for these to be 'B' reports to aid speed of implementation, for during lockdown with 'A' reports developed for post lockdown, as more likely to be targeting at this point
- PAFA can receive and manage the processing of reports via the FTP if DDP implementation is not feasible giving the time constraints
- To aid speed of delivery PAFA propose that MPR level data is requested as a DPM data item and specified in the PARR but made available on request (within appropriate timeframes) should industry behaviour indicate further examination is necessary.