



# **Proposal to Merge and Rationalise Current PARR Documents (Report Registers)**

February 2020 PAC Meeting

# Background

- There are currently two published documents, both visible under TPD Related Documents (<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/tpddocs>)
  - The “Performance Assurance Report Register”
    - (<https://gasgov-mst-files.s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/ggf/page/2018-07/Performance%20Assurance%20Report%20Register%20v1.0.pdf>)
    - This document was created as part of Mod 520A, and this is the format in which we design new reports, as it is much more comprehensive.
  - The “Performance Assurance Framework – Performance Reports Register”
    - ([https://gasgov-mst-files.s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/ggf/PAC%20Document%201%20Performance%20Assurance%20Framework%20Report%20Register%20v1.0\\_1.pdf](https://gasgov-mst-files.s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/ggf/PAC%20Document%201%20Performance%20Assurance%20Framework%20Report%20Register%20v1.0_1.pdf))
    - This is a much more summary level document, created after the PAC had been set up, once the reports were about to go live

## Why have we got 2 documents?

- The legal text for Mod 520A introduced the defined term of the Performance Assurance Report **Registers** (V16.5.1)
- The proposed list of reports was an Appendix to the Mod – was added to UNC Related Documents as “Performance Assurance Report **Register**”
- The shorter document was prepared in haste when the Schedule 1 reports were about to go live, presumably to try match the new UNC defined term

# “Performance Assurance Report Register” - Example

## Schedule 1A – Industry Peer Comparison View

Report Title	<b>Standard Correction Factors for sites with AQ &gt; 732, MWH</b>
Report Reference	PARR Schedule 1A.1
Report Purpose	To monitor potentially incorrect correction factors for large consuming sites. Sites with an AQ >732 MWH should have a site specific correction factor rather than the default CF
Expected Interpretation of the report results	Sites where gas is conveyed to the meter at a rate which is reasonably expected to exceed 732 MWH a year should have a specific correction factor. Therefore any site that has a standard correction factor at this level of consumption for a reasonable period of time may be incorrect.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report MPRN Count Shipper Short Code EUC Bands 4 and above
Data inputs to the report	Count of MPRNs AQ> 732MWH where the Correction Factor is 1.02264 Shipper Short Code EUC Bands 4 and above
Number rounding convention	whole number only
Listing (e.g. report build)	Monthly spreadsheet report

# “Performance Assurance Framework – Performance Reports Register” - Example

**Performance Reports Register**

<b>Reference</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Date of issue</b>	<b>Recipients/publication location</b>
Schedule 1. Report 1A.1	Standard Correction Factors for sites with AQ > 732, MWH	Monthly	The first Monday or Tuesday (depending on availability) of a month in arrears	Industry participants. Published within folder 32 on UK Link Secured Documentation entitled “Performance Assurance Framework – Monthly Reports” with code names. Code names are issued to the operational lead contacts.
Schedule 1. Report 1A.2	No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register (expressed as a proportion of the Shipper’s portfolio, to preserve anonymity, as agreed at February 2017 PAC)	Monthly	The first Monday or Tuesday (depending on availability) of a month in arrears	Industry participants. Published within folder 32 on UK Link Secured Documentation entitled “Performance Assurance Framework – Monthly Reports” with code names. Code names are issued to the operational lead contacts.
Schedule 1. Report 1A.3	Shipper Transfer Read Performance	Monthly	Reported 2 months in arrears. The first Monday or Tuesday (depending on	Industry participants. Published within folder 32 on UK Link Secured Documentation entitled “Performance Assurance Framework – Monthly Reports” with code names. Code names are issued to

# Observations

- The longer document is much more comprehensive and an aid to developers
- Both documents still include all the Schedule 1 reports, which are no longer relevant since the full post-Nexus solution went live in late 2017
- The shorter document is not up-to-date as it refers to reports being published on UKLink Documents secure area

# Current Performance Assurance Report Register Headings

1. Report Title
  2. Report Reference [e.g. PARR Schedule 1B.4]
  3. Report Purpose
  4. Expected Interpretation of the report results
  5. Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)
  6. Data inputs to the report
  7. Number rounding convention
  8. History (e.g. report builds month on month)
  9. Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)
  10. Frequency of the report
  11. Sort criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)
  12. History/background
  13. Additional comments
  14. ***Estimated development costs***
  15. ***Estimated on-going costs***
- } Remove?

Suggested additions:  
Relevant UNC  
Obligation including  
reference

# Recommendations

- Rebadge the detailed document to match the UNC defined term
- Strip out Section 1 details leaving in a comment about what they were and why we don't need them any more
- Add a paragraph at the start of Section 2 which summarises the report frequency and delivery mechanism (i.e. where to find the reports), which is really the only extra thing that is in the short document and not in the long one
- Update the change process information at the start of the document to align with Mod 0660S (Changes to the PARR Governance)
- Add in all the UNC Modifications that have been approved so far – PAFA and Xoserve are already working on this
- For each Schedule 2 Report, add details of the UNC obligations and UNC reference (already part of the PARR publication on Huddle)
  - E.g. 2B.4 Shipper Transfer Read Performance: obligation on the incoming Shipper to obtain a meter reading within the required date range following every transfer of ownership in Classes 2,3,4 and provide to the CDSP (M5.13)
- Remove references to costs, as PARR reporting is a DSC Service Line
- Remove the short-form document



- PAC VIEWS?
- Please note that this proposal does not affect the content or format of any reports – it is solely about removing duplication, redundancy and ambiguity in the UNC Related Documents

The logo for 'xserve' is centered within a stylized house frame. The house has a white background with a light blue diagonal line pattern. The house's outline is a simple grey line. The roof is a triangle, and the main body is a rectangle divided into four vertical panes by three grey lines. The text 'xserve' is written in a blue, sans-serif font. The 'x' is a dark blue, while the 'serve' is a lighter blue. The 'x' is composed of two overlapping shapes that resemble arrows pointing towards each other.

xserve