
Non-Obligated Capacity

Action 0628 / 0102

Non-obligated Capacity Release – current reports

| Type | Name | Description | Entry | Exit |
|--------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Capacity Report | Long Term Summary Report | Long term sold / unsold by location | ✓ > Jan 09 Inc. Non-ob | ✓ > Jul 12 Inc. non-ob |
| Capacity Report | Daily Revenue & Quantities | Daily sales by auction by location, aggregated by month. | ✓ > Apr 08 Inc. Non-ob | - |
| Capacity Report | Auction Results (QSEC, MSEC, EAFLEC, AFLEC, ad-hoc increase) | Long Term auction results by location | ✓ QSEC > 09 MSEC > 12 Inc. Non-ob | ✓ > Jul 12 |
| Report Explorer | Exit Capacity Availability | Long Term, Daily sold and available by location | - | ✓ > Jun 13 |
| Report Explorer | Capacity Availability Report (Nord07) | Long term, D-1, D0 sold and available by location | ✓ > Jun 13 | - |
| Data Item Explorer | (Various) | By location, various Long term and Short term 'booked' and 'Available' reports, as well as auction result reports | ✓ > Jun 13 | ✓ > Jun 13 |

Non-obligated capacity release

- Non-obligated capacity release for IPs is now reported (under CMP requirements) on the ENTSOG Transparency Platform.
 - <https://transparency.entsog.eu/>
- New reporting functionality will be available with part A of charging implementation.
 - This will enhance NG's reporting capability, including relating to non-obligated capacity.
 - Part A changes are anticipated in Nov 18.
 - Historic data will extend back to Apr 17.

Decommissioned Sites

Actions 0706 & 0707

Decommissioned Sites

- **Issue:** Non-operational sites that are within the charging process are ‘distorting’ the prices produced.
- Last month an example of this was given using Theddlethorpe.
 - But it was noted that this used the current Long Run Marginal Cost methodology.
- A previous impact was carried out on the impact of removing a site under the CWD methodology in the Aug 17 NTSCMF.
 - This actually included Theddlethorpe as an example (slides 20-22)
 - https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/ggf/page/2017-08/2017_08_02%20NTSCMF%20-%20Gas%20Charging%20Review%20-%20Final.pdf
- In general then the smaller the Forecast Contracted Capacity (FCC) of the site being removed, then the smaller the impact the removal has on prices.
 - Setting the FCC of a site to 0 has the same net effect on prices as removing that site from the model.

Decommissioned Sites - Discussion

- CWD
 - It is the FCC that is the relevant parameter in the CWD model, not the baseline.
 - In the enduring arrangements (post Oct 21):
 - the impact of non-operational sites on charges could potentially be managed by adjusting the FCC as part of a FCC methodology.
 - In the interim arrangements (Oct 19 – Oct 21):
 - FCC = obligated release, so there is no discretion for NG to adjust the FCC.
 - However sites with 0 baseline (hence 0 FCC) are not impacting the prices.
- Postage Stamp
 - The same conclusions in relation to the enduring and interim arrangements under CWD, can be extended to the Postage Stamp methodology.

Decommissioned Sites – potential options

- Non-Licence options (post Oct 21 for most 621 modifications)
 - The status of a site, and therefore the capacity values associated to it, could be considered via the FCC methodology.
 - Provides for a solution option for managing the impact of decommissioned sites in the enduring arrangements.
 - It is suggested that this is continued in any future FCC discussions at NTSCMF.
 - Note: this would not affect the capacity release obligation. A licence change would still be required for this.
- Licence options
 - Short term: A ‘tidy up’ modification to the Licence could be completed at any time, subject to other priorities.
 - Medium term: Options for a more efficient process to add/remove sites could be considered for RIIO T2 (Apr 21).
 - some initial options for a new process for adding sites are being considered as part of CLoCC.

Decommissioned Sites

Action 0707 carried forward

- Last month we provided a list of sites that had 0 baseline and 0 capacity bookings.
- We have now also looked at sites with a baseline that we believe are not currently active (e.g. no recent capacity bookings).
- As some sites have (potential) redevelopment projects we have provided aggregated information (GWh/d).

| Site type | No. of sites | Baseline – Entry | Baseline - Exit |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Storage & LNG | 6 | 982.3 | 8.94 |
| Industrial / PS | ≈8 | n/a | <= 292.4 |

- Also 1 small onshore field (entry) identified.
- This is an indicative view, and NG recognises it does not have perfect information on third party sites and their intentions.

national**grid**