














UNC Request	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0XXX:</h1> <h2>Review of the charging methodology to avoid the inefficient bypass of the NTS</h2>	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: flex-start;"> <div style="border: 1px solid #800040; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px; width: 100%;">01 Request</div> <div style="border: 1px solid #0070C0; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px; width: 100%;">02 Workgroup Report</div> <div style="border: 1px solid #FF8C00; padding: 5px; width: 100%;">03 Final Workgroup Report</div> </div>
<p><b>Purpose of Request:</b></p> <p>To conduct a review and assessment of the charging methodology that is a feature of the overall Gas Transportation Charging framework to avoid the inefficient bypass of the NTS (currently the NTS Optional Commodity charge). This review would assess the objectives, identify requirements, analyse potential options and propose an enduring proportionate solution.</p>	
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this request should be assessed by a Workgroup This request will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on <b>18 Oct 2018</b>.</p>
	<p>High Impact: All parties that pay NTS Transportation Charges and / or have a connection to the NTS, and National Grid NTS</p>
	<p>Medium Impact: N/A</p>
	<p>Low Impact: N/A</p>

<b>Contents</b>		 Any questions?
<b>1 Request</b>	<b>3</b>	Contact: <b>Joint Office of Gas Transporters</b>
<b>2 Impacts and Costs</b>	<b>5</b>	 <a href="mailto:enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk">enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk</a>
<b>3 Terms of Reference</b>	<b>8</b>	 0121 288 2107
<b>4 Recommendation</b>	<b>9</b>	Proposer: <b>James Gudge</b>
<b>About this document</b>		 <a href="mailto:james.gudge@nationalgrid.com">james.gudge@nationalgrid.com</a>
This document is a Request, which will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on <b>18 October 2018</b> .		 01926 653634 or 07583 060099
The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation, and agree whether this Request should be referred to a Workgroup for review.		Transporter: <b>Colin Williams</b>
The Proposer recommends the following timetable:		 <a href="mailto:colin.williams@nationalgrid.com">colin.williams@nationalgrid.com</a>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Initial consideration by Workgroup in November 2018.</li> <li>Workgroup Report to be presented to May 2019 Panel.</li> </ul>		 01926 655916 or 07785451 776
		Systems Provider: <b>Xoserve</b>
		 <a href="mailto:commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com">commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com</a>
		 telephone

## 1 Request

### Why is the Request being made?

The NTS Optional Commodity Charge product was introduced in 1998 to avoid inefficient bypass of the NTS by large sites located near to entry terminals. The charge is an alternative to investment, so the formula to calculate individual rates is derived from an estimated cost of laying and operating a dedicated pipeline of NTS specification. Shippers can currently elect to pay the NTS Optional Commodity Charge as an alternative to the NTS SO and TO, Entry and Exit Commodity Charges.

Due to changing behaviours, the NTS Optional Commodity Charge (also referred to as “Short-haul”), has seen a significant increase in its use which has impacted on other charges in a way that was not originally envisaged. As a result, it could be applied in situations that are considered inconsistent with its original purpose.

Taking on learning from recent Modifications 0636, 0653 and 0621, National Grid, as Proposer, recognises the benefit of considering this aspect of charging as part of a separate review. More importantly it should be considered as an integral part of an overall methodology and not in isolation, prior to a solution being proposed. It is considered that returning to the principles of the product will help to facilitate an industry consensus before a solution is raised, thereby helping to reduce the risk of multiple alternatives, saving time and helping to progress with the implementation of a Modification, if required.

The purpose of this Request therefore, is to conduct a review and assessment of the charging methodology that is a feature of the overall Gas Transportation Charging framework to avoid inefficient bypass of the NTS. This review would consider:

- The objectives;
- Identify requirements and scope;
- Analyse potential options (including ideas already explored e.g. elements proposed under 0636/A/B/C/D and 0653); and
- Propose an enduring proportionate solution within the charging framework.

This review is considered necessary and should be performed, regardless of the outcomes of other related UNC Modifications, due to the time required for the review and potential implementation timescales. Modification 0621 - *Amendments to the Gas Transmission Charging Regime* (and its alternatives) is in progress and retains a charge that discourages inefficient bypass of the NTS. This retained charge has been developed under the supported notion that this is a topic that should be reviewed separately to give it appropriate time for examination, with most alternative proposals having no optional charge after the transitional period (as of October 2021) and most industry parties supporting a separate review to put in place a suitable charging structure for this from 2021. There is an expectation that arrangements from 2021 should be reviewed as part of a dedicated review group.

This proposal is to review the method by which the avoidance of inefficient bypass is managed, as part of the NTS Transportation Charging Framework. This review allows time and focus to consider how and if the charging proposals for discouraging inefficient bypass should be catered for within any Gas Transportation Charges, including the objectives of any such arrangement that it should be measured against. It is hoped that participants will begin the review looking at the principles first, rather than trying to refashion any existing potential solution options. The assessment of these objectives and principles will determine the full scope of the review.

## Scope

The purpose of this Request is to create a Workgroup to conduct a review and assessment of the charging methodology that is used to avoid the inefficient bypass of the NTS as a feature of the overall charging framework.

This review would assess the objectives of the charging arrangements that discourage inefficient bypass of the NTS and identify the most effective way to incorporate these into the Transportation Charging framework. In addition to reviewing the objectives of any such arrangement, the process is suggested to identify requirements, then analyse and propose potential enduring solutions within the charging framework. This can be carried out independent from, and with an awareness of, UNC Modifications that are currently awaiting decisions to provide enough time for implementation. The level of detail of any considered options will be part of the workgroup discussions, to ensure this is appropriate given that 0621 may not be decided upon until 2019.

UNC0621 and its alternatives are currently subject to Ofgem decision. This review can determine ways in which it is most efficient to manage the incentive, through the overall charging arrangements, to avoid bypass and use the NTS. The target of this review and likely Modification (post awareness of UNC0621 decision) would be for implementation for October 2021 charges.

The Workgroup will address consequential changes to UNC derived from the review, including any potential minded to positions that may come from Ofgem's impact assessment, and evaluate the impacts from the proposed solution(s). The timelines for any potential change will be part of the discussions.

## Impacts & Costs

Costs associated with the Request Proposal are currently unknown.

Impact and cost depend on the nature of the proposed solution, if any.

## Recommendations

The Request aims to create a Workgroup to conduct a review and assessment of the charging methodology that is used to avoid the inefficient bypass of the NTS (currently the NTS Optional Commodity charge) as part of the overall Gas Transportation Charging framework. This review would assess the objectives, identify requirements, analyse potential options and propose an enduring proportionate solution.

The Proposer considers the proposal should be issued to a Workgroup in order to conduct a holistic review prior to determining any solution and identify an enduring solution to be taken forward as a future Modification.

## Additional Information

Links to relevant charging Modifications that have been discussed recently:

0621/A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/J/K/L - Amendments to Gas Transmission Charging Regime

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0621/>

0636/A/B/C/D - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0636/>

0653 - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge – Introducing the NTS Optional Capacity Charge

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0653/>

## 2 Impacts and Costs

### Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

There is the potential for wider industry impacts; the areas affected and scale are dependent on the solution identified as part of this Request and may also be influenced by other code modifications and developments. The extent of any potential impact will be considered alongside the development in the review.

### Impacts

Impact on Central Systems and Process	
Central System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
Operational Processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>

Impact on Transporters	
Area of Transporters' business	Potential impact
System operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>

Impact on Transporters	
Recovery of costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
Price regulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
Standards of service	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impact is unlikely</li> </ul>
UNC Committees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impact is unlikely</li> </ul>
General administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This request is likely to be a significant piece of work for the Joint Office to support and manage</li> </ul>
DSC Committees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>

Impact on Code	
Code section	Impacted
UNC TBD Section Y and UNC TBD Section B.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impacted</li> </ul>

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document	Potential impact
Network Entry Agreement (TPD I1.3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
General	Potential Impact
Legal Text Guidance Document	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impact is unlikely</li> </ul>
UNC Modification Proposals – Guidance for Proposers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impact is unlikely</li> </ul>
Self Governance Guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impact is unlikely</li> </ul>
TPD	Potential Impact
Network Code Operations Reporting Manual (TPD V12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
UNC Data Dictionary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>
AQ Validation Rules (TPD V12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not yet known</li> </ul>

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
AUGE Framework Document	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Customer Settlement Error Claims Process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Demand Estimation Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Energy Balancing Credit Rules (TPD X2.1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Energy Settlement Performance Assurance Regime	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Guidelines to optimise the use of AQ amendment system capacity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Guidelines for Sub-Deduct Arrangements (Prime and Sub-deduct Meter Points)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
LDZ Shrinkage Adjustment Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No impact</li> </ul>
Performance Assurance Report Register	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No impact</li> </ul>
Shares Supply Meter Points Guide and Procedures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No impact</li> </ul>
Shipper Communications in Incidents of CO Poisoning, Gas Fire/Explosions and Local Gas Supply Emergency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No impact</li> </ul>
Standards of Service Query Management Operational Guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No impact</li> </ul>
Network Code Validation Rules	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No impact</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
OAD	Potential Impact
Measurement Error Notification Guidelines (TPD V12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No impact</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
EID	Potential Impact
Moffat Designated Arrangements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
IGTAD	Potential Impact
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
DSC / CDSP	Potential Impact
Change Management Procedures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Contract Management Procedures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>

Impact on UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Credit Policy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Credit Rules	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
UK Link Manual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

Impact on Core Industry Documents and other documents	
Document	Potential impact
Safety Case or other document under Gas Safety (Management) Regulations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Impact is unlikely</li> </ul>
Gas Transporter Licence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Impact is unlikely</li> </ul>

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Operation of the Total System	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Industry fragmentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>
Terminal operators, consumers, connected system operators, suppliers, producers and other non code parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not yet known</li> </ul>

### 3 Terms of Reference

#### Background

To conduct a review and assessment of the charging methodology that is a feature of the overall Gas Transportation Charging framework to avoid the inefficient bypass of the NTS (currently the NTS Optional Commodity Charge). This review would assess the objectives, identify requirements, analyse potential options and propose an enduring proportionate solution.



## Topics for Discussion

- Understanding the objectives
- Understand/develop the principles on which to base any solution
- Assessment of alternative means to achieve objective
- Development of Solution (including business rules if appropriate)
- Assessment of potential impacts of the Request
- Assessment of implementation costs of any solution identified during the Request
- Assessment of legal text

## Outputs

Produce a Workgroup Report for submission to the Modification Panel, containing the assessment and recommendations of the Workgroup including a draft modification where appropriate.

## Composition of Workgroup

The Workgroup is open to any party that wishes to attend or participate.

A Workgroup meeting will be quorate provided at least two Transporter and two User representatives are present.

## Meeting Arrangements

Meetings will be administered by the Joint Office and conducted in accordance with the Code Administration Code of Practice.

## 4 Recommendation

The Proposer invites the Panel to:

- DETERMINE that Request 0XXX progress to Workgroup for review for a period of 6 months.