














UNC Modification		At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0XXX:</h1> <p><i>(Code Administrator to issue reference)</i></p> <h2>Amendment to PARR permissions to allow PAC update with UNCC approval</h2>		<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid green; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;"> 01 Modification </div> <div style="border: 1px solid blue; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;"> 02 Workgroup Report </div> <div style="border: 1px solid purple; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;"> 03 Draft Modification Report </div> <div style="border: 1px solid orange; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;"> 04 Final Modification Report </div> </div>
<p>Purpose of Modification:</p> <p>To allow the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) to update the PARR without recourse to modification, but submit for UNCC approval.</p>		
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> considered a material change and not subject to self-governance assessed by a Workgroup <p>This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on dd mmm yyyy <i>(Code Administrator to provide date)</i>. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>	
	<p>High Impact:</p>	
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>Shippers, Transporters</p>	
	<p>Low Impact:</p>	

Contents		?	Any questions?
1	Summary	3	Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters
2	Governance	3	 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
3	Why Change?	3	 0121 288 2107
4	Code Specific Matters	4	Proposer: Insert name
5	Solution	4	 email address
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4	 telephone
7	Relevant Objectives	4	Transporter: Insert name
8	Implementation	5	 email address
9	Legal Text	5	 telephone
10	Recommendations	5	Systems Provider: Xoserve
Timetable		 UKLink@xoserve.com	
<i>Please provide proposer contacts and an indicative timeline. The Code Administrator will update the contents and provide any additional Specific Code Contacts.</i>			
The Proposer recommends the following timetable: <i>(amend as appropriate)</i>			
Initial consideration by Workgroup		dd month year	
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup		dd month year	
Workgroup Report presented to Panel		dd month year	
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation		dd month year	
Consultation Close-out for representations		dd month year	
Variation Request presented to Panel		dd month year	
Final Modification Report available for Panel		dd month year	
Modification Panel decision		dd month year	
		 email address	
		 telephone	

1 Summary

What

The Performance Assurance Report Register was created so that any change to the PARR could only be completed by raising a UNC modification. Since then a number of reports have been potentially identified to add to the PARR, but needing to go through the modification process means the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) is not as agile and responsive as it could be.

Why

Since Nexus, a number of reports have been identified as being required for the PARR by the PAC. As modification has been raised to add these reports, and it is likely that at least one further modification will be needed in the near future to make further additions in relation to meter read performance. Raising a modification every time additional or changed reports are required is a potentially cumbersome way to manage the PARR suite of reports.

How

It is proposed that the UNC is changed so that modification is not required to amend the PARR, but changes are submitted to the PARR for UNCC approval. In this way, further industry oversight will still be in place to add further checks and balances to any PAC requests for regular reports.

2 Governance

Justification for [Fast Track] Self-Governance, Authority Direction or Urgency

As this changes the nature of one element of the Performance Assurance Framework, it is proposed that this modification be sent for Authority Direction.

Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be considered a material change and not subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Workgroup

3 Why Change?

The PARR created through modification 520A allows update of the PARR but only through UNC modification. Since Nexus the PAC has already identified reports that need to be added to the PARR, and the modification process adds additional delay to creating reports which means the PAC is not as responsive and agile as it could be in this area. For this reason it is proposed that the UNC be updated to allow amendment of the PARR through a specific route – via PAC request that is subsequently approved by UNCC. The additional oversight will add further checks and balances to the amendment process.

4 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

Below is a link to the PARR.

https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/ggf/PAC%20Document%201%20Performance%20Assurance%20Framework%20Report%20Register%20v1.0_0.pdf

5 Solution

As it stands, UNC Section V notes in 16.1.2 that “Any amendment to the Performance Assurance Report Registers shall be made in accordance with the Modification Rules and for such purposes the Performance Assurance Report Registers shall be deemed to be a part of the Code.”

It is proposed that this is amended to allow the PARR to become a related document that can be changed through PAC request, that is subsequently approved by UNCC.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

None identified.

Consumer Impacts

None identified.

Cross Code Impacts

There may be an IGT UNC impact.

EU Code Impacts

None identified.

Central Systems Impacts

None identified.

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of	None

(i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

This modification would enhance f) – Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code by removing additional administration in the development of PARR reporting.

8 Implementation

It is proposed implementation takes place as soon as is practical.

9 Legal Text

Text

To be provided.

10 Recommendations

Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- Agree that Authority Direction should apply
- Refer this proposal to a Workgroup for assessment.