

1st Draft AUGS Walkthrough Summary of Questions and Answers (8 February 2017)

	Question DRAFT AUGS	Response
1.	Where can responses be submitted during the query window?	<p>General AUGE Process queries can be raised via the Xoserve Mailbox (analytical.services@xoserve.com)</p> <p>If the query is a point of clarity then the query can be directed to the AUGE mailbox AUGE.software@dnvgl.com</p> <p>With Xoserve cc'd</p>
2.	Could the factors produced in the April change when the final AUGS is produced in May?	The AUGS methodology is due to be agreed at the May UNCC meeting and the values may have changed since the April meeting. This may also be the case when the final table of weighting factors is produced in June 2017.
3.	Why are we not using Monthly CSEP Invoicing Data as a source of information rather than snapshots?	Xoserve to investigate the suitability of CSEP Invoicing data to meet the AUGE requirements
4.	Why did TRAS fail to provide any additional data following the AUGE request?	It was confirmed in the meeting that additional data is available from TRAS and that the request would be raised again directly by the industry. The AUGE would forward their additional request for reference.
5.	Does the application of Throughput by Classes have a direct effect on the factors?	The AUGE agreed that this was the case
6.	Can a worked example of potential movement between classes and the impact on the factors be included in the AUGS?	The AUGE agreed to look into the possibility of including worked examples
7.	What period of maturity do the AUGE expect to have additional evidence of accurate read performance / accuracy and total Unidentified Gas?	<p>The AUGE explained that the last 2 years of Meter Read data is not used in the analysis due to the impact of replacement reads / consumption adjustments not being fully available.</p> <p>This position should improve Post Nexus as more reads and accurate data should be available which will reduce the amount of estimation by the AUGE. Additional availability of IGT data post Nexus will also help with future analysis.</p>

1st Draft AUGS Walkthrough Summary of Questions and Answers (8 February 2017)

	Question DRAFT AUGS	Response
8.	How does reconciliation impact the UG calculations as it only goes back to line in the sand / and meter errors only go back 12 months?	Fiona provided a pictorial example in her settlement and UG presentation. (see link to full Mhub presentation) http://www.xoserve.com/index.php/our-change-programme/uk-link-programme/uk-link-programme-documents-2/
9.	Are the 36 Factors uniform across all LDZs	The AUGS confirmed that this understanding was correct.
10.	Clarification was requested as to the source of the AUGS information regarding Market Take Up post Nexus?	The AUGS confirmed that Xoserve provided them with a link to material previously presented at the July 2015 Project Nexus UNC Workgroup, which represented the best available information: http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/nexus/240715 item: Volumetric Assumptions
11.	On what information had the AUGS based their projections regarding Smart Metering uptake?	The AUGS were directed to additional smart meter forecasts which were available on the BEIS website
12.	The purpose of the Unknown CSEPS snapshots in the calculation of UG was questioned? A concern was also raised as to the large volumes displayed in LDZs SC,SE and SO	Xoserve agreed to investigate the values provided for these LDZs
13.	Have the AUGS factored in existing error in the AQ Calculations that would impact the data within the meter capacity report?	The AUGS confirmed that they had consulted with their internal metering experts regarding calibration of the meters within the Meter Capacity Report
14.	Do the AUGS take into account behaviour of different meter models in the analysis of the Meter Capacity Report?	It was agreed that the industry would provide additional information regarding meter models that are under / over reading

1st Draft AUGS Walkthrough Summary of Questions and Answers (8 February 2017)

	Question DRAFT AUGS	Response
15.	Why was the mid-point position for theft on Smart Meters used as the balancing factor split in the UG Calculation (Slide 23)?	The AUGS agreed to look into the application of the mid-point if additional data was received to influence their decision
16.	Had the AUGS taken into account how new smart meters had reduced instances of theft where they had previously occurred whilst calculating the Balancing factor Split?	Again the AUGS agreed to look into the application of the mid-point if additional data was received to influence their decision
17.	Why are the values for the UG Weighting factors in Product Classes 3 & 4 EUC Bands 1, 2 and 3 so large compared to the other bands within the final table?	The AUGS explained that the main difference in Product Classes 3 & 4 EUC Bands 1, 2 and 3 was that they were not subject to AMR.
18.	Would the large differences in the values of UG Weighting Factors encourage movement between the product classes to try to attract less UG?	The AUGS confirmed that if the population moves into product classes where there is less UG that this would reduce the total UG, as it would require Smart/AMR equipment to be fitted and used. Product 4 UG would reduce in line with movement and that nobody would be penalised by movement between Product Classes.

	Question Post Nexus UG Calculations (Fiona Cottam)	Response
1.	Will DMV be included in Class 1 post Nexus Go Live?	It was confirmed that there would be a 6 month soft landing period post Go-Live in which they would still be managed as DM by the GT after which they would migrate to Class 4 if they do not meet the mandatory requirement of Class 1.
2.	Could the value of UG in allocation be negative?	It was confirmed that this scenario would be possible in the post nexus regime
	Measurement Allocations – Do these refer to the Throughput Values?	It was confirmed that this understanding was correct.

	Question Post Nexus UG Calculations (Fiona Cottam)	Response
3.	Will Measurements and Allocations feed Transportation Invoicing?	This understanding was confirmed as correct. Gas Transporters do not receive any transportation income on UG
4.	How is UG Reconciliation Shared Out?	<p>UG Reconciliation will be shared out on a monthly basis and will consist of Kwh and Charge Values. It will be shared out on a market share level based on the last 12 months consumption (as revised for subsequent reconciliation).</p> <p>A file of worked examples has been uploaded to UK Link Docs for further clarification. Please see file link below:-</p> <p>http://www.xoserve.com/index.php/our-systems/extranet-secured-sites/</p> <p>Specifically in Folder "31. MOD473 AUGE Data Provision Sub Folder 01. AUGS Calculations"</p>
5.	Reconciliation continues for 3-4 years. How is this the case?	<p>This statement means that individual meter point reconciliation in a given month can go back as far as line in the sand (currently 01/04/2012). As of 01/04/2017 this will move to 3 years (01/04/2014).</p> <p>The sum of all the UG reconciliations is then shared out on a market share level (of throughput) based on the last 12 months consumption.</p>

	Question Post Nexus UG Calculations (Fiona Cottam)	Response
6.	Can it be confirmed which Gemini screens API will appear on?	<p><i>Post-meeting note:</i></p> <p>There is more information on the Xoserve secure UKLink Documents web page.</p> <p>http://www.xoserve.com/index.php/our-systems/extranet-secured-sites/</p> <p>Specifically, in Folder “05. Implementation Approved (awaiting to Go Live)” you will find the following:</p> <p>API-View-Shipper-UIG-Values (describes the new API) Gemini Consequential Change External Screen Pack (includes a section on the Shipper Forecast UIG Share Report)</p>